- 1 Sunday evenings.
- 2 O With regard to that, and your contacting the
- Network about programming that would then be heard on WJUX,
- 4 Ms. Friedman referred you to the transcript of your
- 5 deposition. I do not know whether you still have a copy of
- 6 it.
- 7 A Yes, I do.
- 8 Q Would you look at transcript Page 45 of your
- 9 deposition, Ms. Montana? Are you there?
- 10 A Yes, I am.
- 11 Q Would you read beginning at Line 16 of Page 45?
- 12 A I'm sorry. You said Line 16?
- 13 Q Yes. I do not mean for you to read this into the
- 14 record. I want to ask you a guestion if you will read this,
- and then continue to Page 46
- 16 A Okay.
- 17 Q Now, if you are down to Line 11 of Page 46, let me
- ask you the question Ms. Friedman asked you, and whether
- 19 this refreshes your recollection of the testimony that you
- 20 gave at your deposition.
- 21 Would you ever make a point in transmitting
- 22 announcements to the Network that you felt something
- 23 warranted special coverage?
- 24 A Yes, I did.
- 25 Q And did you so testify at your deposition?

- 1 A Yes, I did. I believe that's on Line 7.
- 2 O Now, would you look at transcript Page 66? If you
- would begin at Page 66 at Line 5, and read down through Line
- 4 17 of that page, does that also refresh your recollection,
- 5 with respect to your transactions with the Network on public
- 6 service announcements and --
- 7 A Yes.
- 8 Q Did you then find that the Network adopted the
- 9 recommendations you made as to public service announcements
- and snow closings and so on?
- 11 A Yes.
- 12 Q Now, if you would go back to Page 46 of your
- transcript, look at Line 16, and read to the bottom of that.
- 14 Is that consistent with the testimony you gave this morning
- that you would talk on the telephone with people with the
- 16 Network?
- 17 A I believe it was, yes
- 18 Q Would you, on occasion, talk on the telephone with
- 19 people at the network about public service announcements?
- 20 A Occasionally.
- 21 Q Ms. Friedman asked you questions about a
- telephone, and her questions were in the nature of the
- 23 existence for WXTM when that station now WJUX began
- operating. One of her questions had to do with the question
- of whether there was a telephone listing for WXTM. I heard

- 1 your answer this morning.
- Do you know for a fact whether there was or was
- 3 not a telephone listing available through the telephone
- 4 company's directory assistance for WXTM in the early days of
- 5 its operation?
- A I do not know if there was a telephone listing
- 7 before there was an actual phone placed on my desk.
- 8 Q Let me see whether I can jog your memory on that.
- 9 When are directories released for the telephone service area
- in Sullivan County?
- 11 A The Sullivan County phone book is published and
- 12 released in May.
- 13 Q Each year?
- 14 A Of each year, yes.
- 15 Q Do you know whether there was a listing for WXTM
- in the first directory that was published after --
- MR. HELMICK: Your Honor, objection. I do not
- think there has been any question on telephone list
- 19 directory listings.
- MR. RILEY: There was by Ms. Friedman.
- THE COURT: Yes, there was.
- BY MR. RILEY:
- Q Do you know whether there was a listing for WXTM
- in the directory that would have been the first one
- published after it went on the air in May of 1995?

- 1 A I recall that there was one.
- 2 Q Do you know what the closing date is to have a
- 3 listing published in the directory?
- 4 A No, I do not.
- 5 Q But the first listing that would have been
- 6 published would have been in May of 1995?
- 7 A Since the station signed on in October, 1994, yes.
- 8 The first one would have been in '95.
- 9 Q Ms. Friedman referred you to testimony that began
- on Page 10 of your deposition transcript. And I would like
- to refer you back to that. Ms. Friedman's reference was
- beginning at Line 24 toward the bottom of the page.
- 13 A Okay.
- 14 Q Would you read that testimony in your deposition
- transcript from Line 24 through Line 8 on Page 11, which is
- what Ms. Friedman referred years.
- 17 A Okay.
- 18 Q Does that testimony refer to public affairs
- 19 programs broadcast on WJUX?
- 20 A I'm sorry. I didn't understand the question.
- 21 Q Does the testimony that you gave there, and did
- you understand the questions asked there, to refer to public
- 23 affairs programs?
- 24 A Yes. It refers to public affairs programming.
- Q And not to public service announcements?

- 1 A That's the way I understood the question, that it
- was something different.
- 3 THE COURT: Which question? The question in your
- 4 deposition, or the question here today?
- 5 THE WITNESS: The question in my deposition refers
- to programming as opposed to public service announcements,
- 7 which I consider something totally different.
- 8 BY MR. RILEY:
- 9 Q You were asked questions about the quarterly issue
- of programming lists for WJUX?
- 11 A That's correct.
- 12 Q You received those lists when they are prepared.
- 13 Is that your testimony?
- 14 A That's correct.
- Q What do you do with them when you receive them?
- 16 A I put them in the WJUX public file, which there
- are two copies of. One stays in the lobby of WVOS, and the
- other one is in the Monticello Public Library.
- 19 Q There is an exhibit in this proceeding, Ms.
- 20 Montana that has been received in evidence as Monticello
- 21 Mountaintop Broadcasting Exhibit --
- THE COURT: Three.
- MR. RILEY: It is Exhibit 3. Let me put a copy of
- this in front of you, Ms. Montana.

25

- 1 BY MR. RILEY:
- 2 O Do you recall executing the declaration covered in
- 3 that exhibit?
- 4 A Yes, I do.
- 5 Q Did you do so at my request?
- 6 A Yes, I did.
- 7 Q Are the documents that are behind that declaration
- 8 just as your declaration states, copies of the issues and
- 9 programs list from the public inspection file?
- 10 A Yes, they are.
- 11 Q Have you examined those at my request?
- 12 A Yes, I did.
- Q What is your opinion about whether these programs
- provide service to the people of Sullivan County, Monticello
- 15 and Liberty?
- MR. HELMICK: Objection, Your Honor. There has
- been no direct examination or these matters. And Ms.
- Montana said she had nothing to do with preparing these
- 19 lists.
- THE COURT: Mr. Riley?
- 21 MR. RILEY: My response would be that I thought it
- would enrich the record in the immortal words of Jerome
- 23 Boros.
- 24 THE COURT: I think the questioning was on the
- preparation of these, and Ms. Montana said she --

- 1 MR. RILEY: Did not prepare them. That is
- correct. That is exactly what the prior testimony was.
- 3 THE COURT: I think that is the extent of the
- 4 prior testimony. I think I am going to sustain the
- 5 objection.
- 6 MR. RILEY: Okay. The list will speak for
- 7 themselves.
- 8 THE COURT: You could not pick a different person
- 9 to quote from?
- MR. RILEY: I just remembered his line so well.
- 11 BY MR. RILEY:
- 12 Q You were asked about whether there was any changes
- made at WJUX following the FCC inspection in early '95 --
- 14 A Yes, I was.
- 15 Q I think it was in April of 1995. I think
- 16 everybody would agree that was the time of the visit. And
- 17 you gave certain answers. One of the answers you gave was,
- thereafter, George Spicka was hired. And after he was
- 19 hired, began originating E.B.S. tests from the WJUX studio
- there in the WVOS/WJUX office studio complex.
- 21 Do you recall that?
- 22 A I do.
- 23 Q I think your testimony was, aside from that and
- other enumerated matters, the phone on your desk, a sign on
- 25 the street and in front of the building with the WJUX call

- sign on it, that there were no other changes made that you
- were aware of or recall.
- 3 A That's correct.
- Q Does that mean there were no changes, to your
- 5 knowledge, to the WJUX main studio physically at that time?
- 6 A At that time.
- 7 Q Well, then, would Mr. Spicka have been able to
- 8 originate programming from that studio prior to the FCC
- 9 inspection?
- 10 A Absolutely.
- 11 Q Would it have been necessary for Mr. Spicka to
- make a visit outside of that building to any location to
- originate programming?
- 14 A Not that I know of.
- 15 Q Is there a transmitter in that building?
- 16 A Yes, there is.
- 17 Q What transmitter is in the building?
- 18 A The WVOS AM transmitter is in that building.
- 19 Q Are you aware of whether there is any switch by
- that transmitter related to criginating programming from the
- 21 WJUX studio room?
- 22 A At that time, there was.
- 23 Q How far is that transmitter from the WJUX studio
- 24 room?
- 25 A Ten, fifteen feet down the hall.

- 1 MR. HELMICK: Your Honor, I would ask that the
- questions and answers be stricken. There has been
- absolutely no questions of Ms. Montana on this issue.
- 4 MR. RILEY: Absolutely wrong, Your Honor.
- 5 THE COURT: There was a lot of questioning about
- 6 whether programming was ever criginated.
- 7 MR. HELMICK: Then ask her about the capability.
- 8 THE COURT: Well, without the capability, how can
- 9 there be programming originated? Ms. Montana said that
- 10 before the FCC inspection, there was no programming
- originated out of that studic. And I think that the
- capability of doing so is within the scope of that area of
- inquiry. So, the objection is everruled.
- BY MR. RILEY:
- 15 Q You were asked about your contacts with Mr. Weis
- 16 and also about contacts with Mr. Turro. You were asked
- about the chain of command in WJUX in Monticello
- 18 Mountaintop.
- 19 A Yes.
- 20 Q You indicated that Mr. Blabey was your immediate
- 21 superior, and that your ultimate superior was Mr. Weis.
- 22 A That's correct.
- Q What was your understanding about who could give
- you directions with respect to programming for WJUX? Let me
- give a concrete example of it. What was your understanding

- about who could give you directions about whether you were
- or were not to prepare a list of bulletin board
- 3 announcements?
- A To the best of my recollection, Mr. Blabey
- 5 directed me to send bulletin board announcements, psa's to
- 6 the Network.
- 7 Q What was your understanding of who could give Mr.
- 8 Blabey directions to do that?
- 9 A His immediate supervisor, who would be Mr. Weis.
- 10 MR. RILEY: That is the last question I have for
- 11 Ms. Montana, Your Honor.
- 12 THE COURT: I just want to add something about the
- capability objection that you had. Ms. Montana is your
- 14 witness and you are sponsoring her. But that would not
- prevent Mr. Riley or Mr. Naftalin from perhaps bringing her
- 16 back here after all the testimony was complete for rebuttal.
- 17 And part of that rebuttal could be her knowledge of the
- capability of the studios to originate programming.
- So, even if that questioning was not within the
- scope of your direct, he could bring her in here next week,
- 21 make her his witness, and ask her the questions. I just do
- not see much point in him doing that. And if you want to
- examine her on that, and you want her examination to be in
- the nature of cross-examination. I think it is very fair
- 25 that you do that. But that is within your discretion.

- I also should point that there has been much, much
- 2 questioning of the other witnesses in this proceeding, that
- 3 might not technically be within the scope of whatever was
- 4 asked before. But if the information is useful to the
- 5 record, I think that is the important thing. Not the legal
- 6 technicalities.
- 7 So, do you have any redirect?
- MR. HELMICK: We do, Your Honor. Could we just
- 9 take a minute off the record.
- 10 THE COURT: All right
- 11 (Whereupon, a short recess was taken.)
- 12 THE COURT: We are back on the record. Any
- 13 redirect?
- MR. HELMICK: Just a couple of questions, Your
- 15 Honor.
- 16 REDIRECT EXAMINATION
- 17 BY MR. HELMICK:
- 18 Q Ms. Montana, in response to Mr. Riley's question
- 19 to you about the ability to originate programming from the
- 20 WJUX studio, you said there was a switch at the WVOS
- 21 transmitter?
- 22 A It was in the transmitter rack on a piece of
- 23 network equipment.
- 24 Q A piece of network equipment. Do you mean Jukebox
- 25 Radio Network equipment?

- 1 A I believe it was network equipment. I'm not sure.
- 2 But there was a switch on a piece of equipment that was in
- 3 the rack, that had WVOS equipment on top and below it.
- 4 Q Where was that rack physically located?
- 5 A In the AM transmitter room at the WVOS main
- 6 studio.
- 7 Q Where is that room in relation to the WJUX main
- 8 studio?
- A As I answered before, it was about ten or fifteen
- 10 feet down the hallway.
- 11 THE COURT: Down the hallway from the --
- 12 THE WITNESS: From the WJUX studio. Yes.
- 13 BY MR. HELMICK:
- 14 Q So, if you were in the WJUX studio, there is no
- way that you could originate programming physically from
- that studio to the WJUX transmitter?
- MR. EDMUNDSON: I ckject. That is argumentative,
- 18 Your Honor.
- 19 THE COURT: I overrule that.
- THE WITNESS: Could you clarify that question?
- BY MR. HELMICK:
- 22 Q If the switch that you are talking about is
- located in the WVOS equipment rack ten or fifteen feet down
- the hallway from the WJUX studic, and you are in the WJUX
- studio, is there any way, physically, from that studio to

- originate programming to the WJUX transmitter?
- 2 A Without throwing the switch?
- 3 O Yes.
- 4 A To the best of my knowledge, no.
- 5 THE COURT: What did the switch do?
- 6 THE WITNESS: I don't know.
- 7 THE COURT: Okay. You know there was a switch.
- 8 THE WITNESS: There was a switch that had to be, I
- 9 believe, in the up position, in order to originate
- 10 programming.
- THE COURT: But you know there was a switch?
- 12 THE WITNESS: Yes.
- 13 THE COURT: You know where it was?
- 14 THE WITNESS: Yes.
- THE COURT: And you know that if someone wants to
- originate programming from WJUX studio, the switch had to be
- 17 thrown?
- 18 THE WITNESS: That's correct.
- THE COURT: And ther if the switch were thrown,
- 20 what would somebody have to do to originate programming from
- 21 that WJUX studio?
- THE WITNESS: Play something on the cart deck.
- THE COURT: But once the switch was thrown and the
- 24 studio would operate as any other radio station studio or
- 25 the project studio --

- THE WITNESS: To the best of my limited --
- THE COURT: -- knowledge.
- 3 THE WITNESS: Yes.
- 4 MR. HELMICK: No further questions.
- 5 THE COURT: You are excused. Thank you very much
- for coming in.
- 7 MS. FRIEDMAN: Your Honor, we reserve the right to
- 8 recall the witness.
- 9 THE COURT: Okay. Were you combining?
- MR. HELMICK: No. I was speaking for Universal,
- 11 Your Honor.
- 12 THE COURT: Oh. I am sorry. Do you have re-
- 13 direct?
- 14 MS. FRIEDMAN: No. Just that we would reserve the
- right to recall her.
- 16 THE COURT: Well, what would you recall her for?
- 17 MS. FRIEDMAN: As a rebuttal witness.
- THE COURT: To rebut what?
- MS. FRIEDMAN: We have to see what other testimony
- 20 is elicited.
- THE COURT: Well --
- MR. EDMUNDSON: Your Honor, can I just get my oar
- in for the record?
- THE COURT: Your oar? Okay. You have a right if
- you want to try to persuade me to allow you to ask

- clarifying questions. But please do not quote Jerry Boros.
- MR. EDMUNDSON: Yes. Laying that aside, taking
- one thing at a time, I think the witness, who came here
- 4 under subpoena, they ought to take her testimony and be done
- 5 with it. I do not know what this rebuttal is all about.
- 6 She ought to be excused and permitted to go back to New York
- 7 State.
- 8 MR. RILEY: It seems to me the Bureau could try to
- 9 persuade you to issue another subpoena. But they are trying
- now to continue this subpoena, as I understand it.
- THE COURT: Well, let me put it this way. This is
- 12 kind of a screwy case, in that we have a direct case that
- was put in. Then, we have a rebuttal case that was put in,
- because in my humble estimation, that was the only logical
- 15 way to do it. Until Monticello Mountaintop and Mr. Turro
- 16 saw your case, there was no way for them to prepare
- exhibits, because they did not know what they had to answer.
- 18 So, there is a direct case. Then, there is, in
- 19 essence, a rebuttal case. Sc, anything further you would
- put in is sur-rebuttal, which is not usually permitted.
- 21 They do not have a direct case.
- My only admonition to you is if you know at this
- 23 minute, other questions that you want to ask Ms. Montana,
- 24 you ask them now while she is here. I am not going to
- 25 preclude you from trying to persuade me that she should be

- called back. But at this stage, I am not inclined to tell
- you, 'Oh, yes. If you want her back, you can call her
- 3 back.'
- If the nature of the questioning is that you want
- to call her back such that you could have asked it today, I
- am not going to let you do it. And you should be forewarned
- 7 that it is a very, very heavy burden that you bear in trying
- 8 to persuade me to take any further testimony from Ms.
- 9 Montana.
- I am going to give her that instruction. I
- overheard Mr. Aronowitz. What I was going to tell you is,
- you are going to be excused new, but I am going to instruct
- you not to talk to anybody about your testimony. Not
- anything that I asked or that anybody else asked or what
- 15 your answers were to anybody
- THE WITNESS: Yes, sir
- 17 THE COURT: Except the lawyers. You can talk to
- 18 the lawyers.
- 19 THE WITNESS: Okay
- THE COURT: Except if you talk to the lawyers, Mr.
- 21 Weis will get a bill. No. But you can talk to your
- lawyers. And, of course, you can talk to your own lawyer,
- 23 Mr. Edmundson. But do not talk to, especially Mr. Blabey,
- or anybody else that might appear as a witness, until one of
- 25 the lawyers tells you it is okay to talk again.

- 1 THE WITNESS: Okay.
- THE COURT: If you want to talk to your husband,
- 3 just tell him I said you cannot talk to him.
- 4 THE WITNESS: I wor't.
- 5 THE COURT: You can have some fun.
- MR. EDMUNDSON: Your Honor, if you are excusing --
- 7 THE COURT: How about clarifying questions? We
- 8 did not get to that.
- 9 MR. EDMUNDSON: Well, I understand that. You are
- 10 excusing this witness, so that if she is to come back, the
- Bureau is going to have to get out another subpoena. Am I
- 12 correct about that?
- THE COURT: Well, I do not know. We will talk
- about that later. She has appeared when she was ordered to
- do so. If I say, 'You come back, and you guys want a
- 16 subpoena?' I will give them a subpoena.
- 17 MR. EDMUNDSON: My point is that it may be that we
- would resist for her to come back a second time.
- 19 THE COURT: Sure.
- MR. EDMUNDSON: We would want to have the
- opportunity to avail ourselves of that right, no matter what
- 22 the process is or is not. I am not standing on the habit to
- 23 have a new subpoena issued. But the witness is reserving
- 24 the right that if she is called back to speak to that. That
- 25 is all.

- THE COURT: Of course And I would say if we made
- this with respect to another cut of town witness, that if it
- is necessary to take further testimony, perhaps we will do
- 4 it by speaker phone. You never say never. But you have an
- 5 awfully heavy burden. Okay?
- 6 You are excused now
- 7 THE WITNESS: Thank you.
- 8 (Witness excused.)
- 9 THE COURT: Before we break for lunch, I would
- 10 like to go off the record, and I would like to ask that only
- the parties' attorneys remain in the room. So, we will go
- off the record.
- MR. NAFTALIN: Do you want the parties to leave,
- 14 as well, Your Honor.
- THE COURT: Yes.
- MR. RILEY: Just the attorneys?
- 17 THE COURT: Just the attorneys.
- 18 (Whereupon, a short recess was taken.)
- 19 THE COURT: While we were off the record, we were
- 20 discussing scheduling matters There is an outstanding
- 21 matter. And that is Mass Media Bureau Exhibit 38 for
- identification has not been offered. I wondered if you were
- going to offer it, Mr. Helmick?
- 24 MR. HELMICK: I betieve it will be used when we
- 25 examine Mr. Blabey. So, I think it would be offered then.

```
THE COURT: Okay. We will not do anything with it
 1
      until after that. I did not know. Okay.
 2
                We will be in recess until tomorrow morning at
 3
      9:30. Thank you very much.
 4
 5
                 (Whereupon, at 12:55 p.m., the hearing was
 6
      recessed, to reconvene on Friday, December 5, 1997, at 9:30
 7
      a.m.)
      11
 8
 9
      //
10
      //
      //
11
12
      11
      11
13
     //
14
      //
15
16
      11
17
      11
18
      //
19
      11
20
      11
21
      11
      11
22
23
      11
24
      11
25
      11
```

REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE

FCC DOCKET NO.:

MM Docket No. 97-122

CASE TITLE:

Gerard R. Turro

HEARING DATE:

December 1, 1997

LOCATION:

Washington, D.C.

I hereby certify that the proceedings and evidence are contained fully and accurately on the tapes and notes reported by me at the hearing in the above case before the Federal Communications Commission.

Date:

12/18/97

Official Reporter

Heritage Reporting Corporation

1220 "L" Street, N.W. Washington, D.C. 20005

TRANSCRIBER'S CERTIFICATE

I hereby certify that the proceedings and evidence were fully and accurately transcribed from the tapes and notes provided by the above named reporter in the above case before the Federal Communications Commission.

Date:

12/18/97

Official Transcriber

Heritage Reporting Corporation

PROOFREADER'S CERTIFICATE

I hereby certify that the transcript of the proceedings and evidence in the above referenced case that was held before the Federal Communications Commission was proofread on the date specified below.

Date:

12/18/97

Official Proofreader

Heritage Reporting Corporation